# MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY

### Introduction

### Newfoundland as an Island Case-Study

- NL has a high percentage of mobile workers, who work in areas away from home for an extended period.
- Mothers are often forced to head lone parent families while their partners are away.<sup>1</sup>
- Partner absence has been shown to have detrimental effects on mothers.
- Some studies have linked mobile work to increased maternal stress and household workload, conflictual couple relationships, and an expectation for mothers to complete all of the parenting duties.<sup>2</sup>

### **Parenting Sense of Competence**

 Parenting sense of competence is intertwined with parenting satisfaction, skill, and overall outcome for the family.<sup>3,4</sup>

#### Objectives

- 1. Identify and quantify demographic differences between mothers with and without a partner involved in mobile work.
- 2. Predict parenting sense of competence (satisfaction) with demographics, mental health, and family variables as predictors.

Participants



# Mobile Relationships and Motherhood: A Portrait of Newfoundland and Labrador.

Bedford, S., Walters, L., & Gosselin, J. Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador

# Methods/Measures

Rural (n=265) 24.5%

- Measures included: A socio-demographic questionnaire, the Outcome Questionnaire- 45 (OQ-45), the Child-Parent Relationship Scale (CPRS), Quality of Co-Parental Communication Scale (QCPC), and Parental Sense of Competence Scale (PSOC). Chi-Square test of Independence compared mothers with/without a partner involved in mobile work on key variables. Stepwise Multiple Regression was used to predict PSOC-Satisfaction with the following blocks:
- 1. Demographics (only those with significant correlation to PSOC): Mothers age, Education, Household Income, Maternal Health, and Child Health.
- 2. Mental Health: OQ-45 Total Score
- 3. Family Characteristics: CPRS (conflict and closeness subscales), QCPC (conflict and closeness subscales).

		Re	sults	5					
Locati	non-r	<ul> <li>Significant difference between mobile and non-mobile mothers</li></ul>							
Urban			<ul> <li>Non-mobile mothers were 2.42x more likely urban</li> </ul>						
Rural		<ul> <li>Mobi rural</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mobile relationship mothers 4.93x more likely rural</li> </ul>						
Employment			• Significant difference in employment status $\chi^2$ (1, N = 1082) = 7.16, p = .007						
Mobile vs. Non- mobile		<ul> <li>Mothers in a mobile relationship were 1.41x more likely to be not working outside the home.</li> </ul>							
		more		e not workin	g outside	e the			
	e	more		e not workin <b>t</b>		e the t			
mobi	e ß	more home	β	t	ß	t			
	e	more		e not workin t 2.284* -0.569		e the t 2.003* -0.404			
Mage Household	<b>β</b> - 0.076	more home <b>t</b> 1.370	β 0.074	<b>t</b> 2.284*	β 0.063	<b>t</b> 2.003*			
Mage Household Income	<b>β</b> - 0.076 0.097	more home <b>t</b> 1.370 2.343*	β 0.074 -0.020	<b>t</b> 2.284* -0.569	β 0.063 -0.013	<b>t</b> 2.003* -0.404			
Mage Age Household Income Education	<b>β</b> - 0.076 0.097 -0.540	more home <b>t</b> 1.370 2.343* -1.398	β 0.074 -0.020 0.009	t 2.284* -0.569 0.286	β 0.063 -0.013 0.013	t 2.003* -0.404 0.423			
Maternal Health	<b>β</b> - 0.076 0.097 -0.540 0.231	more home t 1.370 2.343* -1.398 5.887***	β 0.074 -0.020 0.009 -0.032	t 2.284* -0.569 0.286 -0.917	β 0.063 -0.013 0.013 -0.036	t 2.003* -0.404 0.423 -1.073 2.945**			
Maternal Health Child Health	<b>β</b> - 0.076 0.097 -0.540 0.231	more home t 1.370 2.343* -1.398 5.887***	<ul> <li>β</li> <li>0.074</li> <li>-0.020</li> <li>0.009</li> <li>-0.032</li> <li>0.126</li> </ul>	t 2.284* -0.569 0.286 -0.917 3.436***	β 0.063 -0.013 0.013 -0.036 0.101	t 2.003* -0.404 0.423 -1.073 2.945**			
Age Age Household Income Education Maternal Health OQ-45 QCPC	<b>β</b> - 0.076 0.097 -0.540 0.231	more home t 1.370 2.343* -1.398 5.887***	<ul> <li>β</li> <li>0.074</li> <li>-0.020</li> <li>0.009</li> <li>-0.032</li> <li>0.126</li> </ul>	t 2.284* -0.569 0.286 -0.917 3.436***	β 0.063 -0.013 0.013 -0.036 0.101 -0.498	t 2.003* -0.404 0.423 -1.073 2.945** -15.156***			
Maternal Health OQ-45 QCPC Closeness	<b>β</b> - 0.076 0.097 -0.540 0.231	more home t 1.370 2.343* -1.398 5.887***	<ul> <li>β</li> <li>0.074</li> <li>-0.020</li> <li>0.009</li> <li>-0.032</li> <li>0.126</li> </ul>	t 2.284* -0.569 0.286 -0.917 3.436***	<b>β β ο</b> .063 <b>ο</b> .0013 <b>ο</b> .013 <b>ο</b> .036 <b>ο</b> .101 <b>ο</b> .498 <b>ο</b> .017	t 2.003* -0.404 0.423 -1.073 2.945** -15.156***			

\* *p* < .05. \*\* *p* < .01. \*\* *p* < .0005

	Mobile Relationship			No Mobile Relationship					
	Μ	SD	n	Μ	SD	n	t		
Child Health	4.38	0.84	437	4.37	0.89	632	0.26		
Personal Health	3.63	0.87	437	3.70	0.92	632	-1.16		
Produce	2.72	0.57	437	2.68	0.61	632	1.05		
Exercise	3.65	1.98	437	3.51	1.98	632	1.11		
Sleep	3.12	1.04	437	3.06	1.03	632	0.94		
Social Support	3.51	1.11	437	3.64	1.11	632	-1.91*		
* <i>p</i> < .05. ** <i>p</i> < .01. ** <i>p</i> < .0005									

### Discussion

### Mobile vs. Non-Mobile

- the non-significant in social variables (social support, OQ-45, CPRS).
- Urban/Rural: History of fishing in rural communities
- Employment: There is some literature to suggest that mothers in a mobile relationship may have to stop their employment to support their family in their partner's absence.
- Health: Significant difference in self-reported satisfaction with social support. No other health differences.

### Next Steps

- See if differences exist outside of the dominant discourse.
- Consider the assumptions typically placed on these populations (differences between urban/rural and prevalence of mobile work in NL compared to other places).

### Parental Sense of Competence (Satisfaction)

- Child health, mental health (OQ-45), and quality of relationship with (biological) child predicted parental sense of selfcompetence (satisfaction).
- Model explains 45.3% of the variance with a large effect size (Adjusted  $R^2 = .446$ ).
- Parenting sense of competence satisfaction was higher in: - Older mothers
- Mothers with healthier children

- Mothers with better mental health (low score on OQ-45) - Better child-parent relationships (low conflict, high closeness) **Next Steps:** See if relationship persists across different family structures and investigate ways to increase competence.

#### References

**1.** Memorial University Harris Center. (2016). Vital Signs: A province-wide check-up of quality of life in Newfoundland and Labrador communities for 2016. Community Foundation of Newfoundland & Labrador. **2.** Pini, B., & Mayes, R. (2012). Gender, emotions and fly-in fly-out work, Australian Journal of Social Issues. 47(1), **3.** Coleman, P. K., & Karraker, K. H. (2003). Maternal self-efficacy beliefs, competence in parenting, and toddlers'

behavior and developmental status. Infant Mental Health Journal, 24(2), 126–148. 4. Johnston, C., & Mash, E. J. (1989). A Measure of Parenting Satisfaction and Efficacy. Journal of Clinical Child *Psychology*, *18*(2), 167–175.



Mobile work has a long history in NL, which may explain some of